arXiv:hep-ph/0301098v1 14 Jan 2003

Renormalization group evolution of the CKM matrix[1]

P. Kielanowski* and S.R. Juárez W.*†

*Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, 07360 México D.F., Mexico
†Departamento de Física, Escuela Superior de Física y Matemáticas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, 07738 México D.F., Mexico

Abstract. We present here the most important ideas, equations and solutions for the running of all the quark Yukawa couplings and all the elements of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix, in the approximation of one loop, and up to order λ^4, where $\lambda \sim 0.22$ is the sine of the Cabibbo angle. Our purpose is to determine what the evolution of these parameters may indicate for the physics of the standard model (SM), the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) and for the Double Higgs Model (DHM).

The number of free parameters of the standard model is at least 18. For a fundamental theory this is a rather large number of parameters. 11 of these parameters are related to the masses, 3 are the coupling constants and 4 are the parameters of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. All these parameters have to be given in the Lagrangian of the standard model which is also a function of the fields. We are accustomed to talk about the masses and coupling constants but the parameters of the Lagrangian are not really the physical observables that are measured in the experiment. The real physical observables are the matrix elements of the *S* matrix which are rather complicated functions of the Lagrangian's parameters. The physical particle masses are for example determined from the positions of the poles of the *S* matrix elements. Each physical theory requires the renormalization in order to become finite. The renormalization is the procedure that links the physical observables with the parameters of the Lagrangian. In realistic theories the renormalization also serves as a method to remove the infinities that appear in the loop diagrams. The renormalization procedure first employs the regularization and then by using the appropriate counter terms, the infinities are removed from the observables. In the process of the renormalization one has to impose some conditions that introduce into the theory an arbitrary constant μ of the dimension of energy which is called the renormalization scale. The observables cannot and do not depend on the renormalization scale but the coupling constants and masses in the Lagrangian do. Their dependence on the renormalization scale μ is determined from the renormalization group equations [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11] that are derived from the condition of independence of the observables on the renormalization scale.

The equations of the renormalization group give the dependence of the parameters of

[1] Talk given in the X Mexican School of Particles and Fields, Playa del Carmen, México, 2002

the Lagrangian on the renormalization scale. The first interesting result is that the coupling constants of QED and QCD have the following dependence on the renormalization scale

$$\text{QED} \;:\quad \mu \nearrow \longrightarrow \alpha_{\text{QED}} \nearrow \tag{1}$$
$$\text{QCD} \;:\quad \mu \nearrow \longrightarrow \alpha_{\text{QCD}} \searrow \tag{2}$$

The decreasing of the QCD coupling constant as the renormalization scale μ increases is called asymptotic freedom and historically was one of the most important arguments for the introduction of the QCD, but we also see from the previous equation that there must exist a scale μ where the two coupling constants are equal

$$\alpha_{\text{QED}} = \alpha_{\text{QCD}} \tag{3}$$

and this happens when the value of μ is approximately 10^{14}GeV. It turns out that the value of the remaining coupling constant is not far from the α_{QED} and α_{QCD} so at this energy the three gauge coupling constants are equal and one can therefore introduce only one gauge group, simplifying the standard model and reducing the number of parameters. This hypothesis is called the Grand Unification. One can pursue this idea further by investigating the behavior of other coupling constants.

The CKM [12, 13] matrix and the quark masses in the standard model are related to the couplings of quarks to the Higgs particle. The CKM matrix at the M_Z energy does not have a definite symmetry and none of its matrix elements is vanishing. It has however an interesting hierarchy property that is best seen in the Wolfenstein parameterization [14, 15]

$$\hat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1-\bar{\rho}-i\bar{\eta}) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

$$\lambda \approx 0.22, \quad \bar{\rho} = \rho\left(1-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right), \quad \bar{\eta} = \eta\left(1-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right). \tag{5}$$

The CKM matrix is obtained from the biunitary diagonalization of the Yukawa couplings y_u and y_d of the standard model

$$y_u = (U_u)_L^\dagger Y^u (U_u)_R. \quad Y^u = \text{diag}(Y_u, Y_c, Y_t), \tag{6}$$
$$y_d = (U_d)_L^\dagger Y^d (U_d)_R. \quad Y^d = \text{diag}(Y_s, Y_s, Y_b), \tag{7}$$
$$\hat{V} = (U_u)_L (U_d)_L^\dagger. \tag{8}$$

The renormalization group flow of the matrices y_u and y_d of the Yukawa couplings is determined from the following equations:

$$\frac{dy_{u,d}}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left\{\beta_{u,d}^{(1)}(g_k^2, y_u y_u^\dagger, y_d y_d^\dagger) + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\beta_{u,d}^{(2)}(g_k^2, y_u y_u^\dagger, y_d y_d^\dagger, \lambda_H) + \cdots\right\} y_{u,d} \;,$$

where $t \equiv \ln(E/\mu)$ is the energy scale parameter. Equations for y_u and y_d have to be complemented by the following equations for the gauge coupling constants g_i, vacuum

expectation value v and the Higgs quartic coupling λ_H

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dg_i}{dt} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left\{\beta_{g_i}^{(1)}(g_i^2)+\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\beta_{g_i}^{(2)}(g_k^2,y_u y_u^\dagger,y_d y_d^\dagger)+\cdots\right\}g_i,\\
\frac{dv}{dt} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left\{\beta_{v}^{(1)}(g_k^2,y_u y_u^\dagger,y_d y_d^\dagger)+\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\beta_{v}^{(2)}(g_k^2,y_u y_u^\dagger,y_d y_d^\dagger,\lambda_H)+\ldots\right\}v,\\
\frac{d\lambda_H}{dt} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left\{\beta_{\lambda_H}^{(1)}(g_k^2,y_u y_u^\dagger,y_d y_d^\dagger)+\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\beta_{\lambda_H}^{(2)}(g_k^2,y_u y_u^\dagger,y_d y_d^\dagger,\lambda_H)+\ldots\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

These equations form a system of coupled nonlinear equations and their explicit solution is not possible, but one can obtain several interesting properties of these equations if one makes use of the hierarchy that is contained in them. The lowest non trivial order arises when we neglect in the equations the terms of the order λ^4 and higher. This approximation is equivalent to the one loop approximation and the equations have the following form [16, 17]

$$\frac{dg_i}{dt}=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}b_i g_i^3, \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{dv_{u,d}}{dt}=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}[\alpha_1^{v_{u,d}}(t)+\alpha_3^{v_{u,d}}\mathrm{tr}(y_u\,y_u^\dagger)]v_{u,d}, \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dy_u}{dt} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}[\alpha_1^u(t)+\alpha_2^u y_u y_u^\dagger+\alpha_3^u\mathrm{tr}(y_u y_u^\dagger)]y_u, &(11)\\
\frac{dy_d}{dt} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}[\alpha_1^d(t)+\alpha_2^d y_u y_u^\dagger+\alpha_3^d\mathrm{tr}(y_u y_u^\dagger)]y_d. &(12)
\end{aligned}$$

The various functions and constants in the renormalization group equations are equal to

$$(b_1,b_2,b_3)=(41/10,-19/6,-7)_{SM},\ (21/5,-3,-7)_{DHM},\ (33/5,1,-3)_{MSSM}, \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1^u(t) &= -(c_1g_1^2+c_2g_2^2+c_3g_3^2),\ \alpha_2^u=\frac{3b}{2},\ \alpha_3^u=3, &(14)\\
\alpha_1^d(t) &= -(c_1'g_1^2+c_2'g_2^2+c_3'g_3^2),\ \alpha_2^d=\frac{3c}{2},\ \alpha_3^d=3a, &(15)\\
\alpha_1^{v_{u,d}}(t) &= c''_{1(u,d)}g_1^2+c''_{2(u,d)}g_2^2,\quad \alpha_3^{v_o}=-3,\ \alpha_3^{v_u}=-3,\ \alpha_3^{v_d}=0, &(16)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$(a,b,c)=(1,1,-1)_{SM},\ (0,1,1/3)_{DHM},\ (0,2,2/3)_{MSSM}$$

$$(c_1,c_2,c_3)=(17/20,9/4,8)_{SM},\ (17/20,9/4,8)_{DHM},\ (13/15,3,16/3)_{MSSM},$$

$$(c_1',c_2',c_3')=(1/4,9/4,8)_{SM},\ (1/4,9/4,8)_{DHM},\ (7/15,3,16/3)_{MSSM},$$

$$(c''_{1(u,d)},c''_{2(u,d)})=(-9/20,-9/4)_{SM},\ (-9/20,-9/4)_{DHM},\ (-3/20,-3/4)_{MSSM}.$$

Here(SM) stands for Standard Model , (MSSM) for Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model and (DHM) for Double Higgs Model.

Equations for g_i can be solved independently and with these solutions one can also solve explicitly the equations for y_u and y_d. These solutions read

$$g_i(t) = g_i^0 \left(1 - \frac{2b_i (g_i^0)^2 (t-t_0)}{(4\pi)^2}\right)^{-1/2}, \quad g_i^0 \equiv g_i(t_0), \tag{17}$$

The solutions to the remaining equations are [16, 17]:

$$v_{u,d}(t) = v_{u,d}(t_0) \sqrt{r''_{v_{u,d}}(t)} h_m^{\alpha_3^{v_{u,d}}}(t), \; v_{u,d}^0 = v_{u,d}(t_0), \tag{18}$$

$$Y_{u,c}(t) = Y_{u,c}(t_0) \sqrt{r(t)} h_m^{\alpha_3^u}(t), \; Y_t(t) = Y_t(t_0) \sqrt{r(t)}\, h_m^{\left(\alpha_2^u + \alpha_3^u\right)}(t), \tag{19}$$

$$Y_{d,s}(t) = Y_{d,s}(t_0) \sqrt{r'(t)} h_m^{\alpha_3^d}(t), \; Y_b(t) = Y_b(t_0) \sqrt{r'(t)}\, h_m^{\left(\alpha_2^d + \alpha_3^d\right)}(t), \tag{20}$$

where

$$r(t) = \exp\left[\frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}\int_{t_0}^t \alpha_1^u(\tau) d\tau\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{k=3}\left[\frac{g_k^2(t_0)}{g_k^2(t)}\right]^{\frac{c_k}{b_k}}, \tag{21}$$

$$r'(t) = \exp\left[\frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}\int_{t_0}^t \alpha_1^d(\tau) d\tau\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{k=3}\left[\frac{g_k^2(t_0)}{g_k^2(t)}\right]^{\frac{c'_k}{b_k}}, \tag{22}$$

$$r''_{v_{u,d}}(t) = \exp\left[\frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}\int_{t_0}^t \alpha_1^{v_{u,d}}(\tau) d\tau\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{k=2}\left[\frac{g_k^2(t_0)}{g_k^2(t)}\right]^{\frac{c''_{k(u,d)}}{b_k}}, \tag{23}$$

$$h_m(t) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int_{t_0}^t Y_t^2(\tau) d\tau\right) = \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{2\left(\alpha_2^u + \alpha_3^u\right)}{(4\pi)^2}(Y_t^0)^2 \int_{t_0}^t r(\tau) d\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\left(\alpha_2^u + \alpha_3^u\right)}}. \tag{24}$$

From the solutions for the y_u and y_d we obtain the explicit energy dependence of the CKM matrix elements:

$$|\hat{V}_{td}(t)|^2 = \frac{|\hat{V}_{td}^0|^2}{h^2 + (1-h^2)|\hat{V}_{td}^0|^2}, \text{ where } \hat{V}_{ij}^0 \equiv \hat{V}_{ij}(t_0), \; h(t) \equiv [h_m(t)]^{\alpha_2^d} \tag{25}$$

$$|\hat{V}_{cd}(t)|^2 = \frac{h^2|\hat{V}_{cd}^0|^2}{h^2 + (1-h^2)|\hat{V}_{td}^0|^2}, \; |\hat{V}_{tb}(t)|^2 = \frac{h^2|\hat{V}_{tb}^0|^2}{1 + (h^2-1)|\hat{V}_{tb}^0|^2}, \tag{26}$$

$$|\hat{V}_{ub}(t)|^2 = \frac{|\hat{V}_{ub}^0|^2}{1 + (h^2-1)|\hat{V}_{tb}^0|^2}. \tag{27}$$

All the remaining CKM matrix elements can be obtained from the unitarity. From these equations the following transformation laws of the Wolfenstein parameters are obtained:

$$A(t) = \frac{A}{h(t)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda, \rho, \eta \text{ are invariant.} \tag{28}$$

The evolution of the CP violation Jarlskog invariant [18] is also very simple

$$J = \Im\left[\hat{V}_{ud}\hat{V}_{cs}\hat{V}_{us}^{*}\hat{V}_{cd}^{*}\right] = \Im\left[\hat{V}_{ud}\hat{V}_{tb}\hat{V}_{ub}^{*}\hat{V}_{td}^{*}\right], \tag{29}$$

$$J = \frac{J_0}{\left|1+(h^2-1)|\hat{V}_{tb}^{0}|^2\right|} \approx \frac{J_0}{h^2}. \tag{30}$$

All these results can be summarized by the following simple evolution of the CKM matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{V}_{ud}^{0} & \hat{V}_{ud}^{0} & \hat{V}_{ub}^{0} \\ \hat{V}_{cd}^{0} & \hat{V}_{cs}^{0} & \hat{V}_{cb}^{0} \\ \hat{V}_{td}^{0} & \hat{V}_{ts}^{0} & \hat{V}_{tb}^{0} \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \hat{V}_{ud}^{0} & \hat{V}_{ud}^{0} & \frac{\hat{V}_{ub}^{0}}{h} \\ \hat{V}_{cd}^{0} & \hat{V}_{cs}^{0} & \frac{\hat{V}_{cb}^{0}}{h} \\ \frac{\hat{V}_{td}^{0}}{h} & \frac{\hat{V}_{ts}^{0}}{h} & \hat{V}_{tb}^{0} \end{pmatrix} \tag{31}$$

We finally summarize our conclusions formulating the following theorems:

Theorem 1: The one loop renormalization group flow of the CKM matrix depends on only one universal function of the energy $h(t)$.

Theorem 2: The ratios of the down quark masses m_d/m_b and m_s/m_b are the functions of only $h(t)$.

Theorem 3: The ratio of the up and down quark masses m_u/m_c and m_u/m_c are energy independent.

Theorem 4: The next order non vanishing corrections for the flow of the CKM matrix are of the order λ^5.

Theorem 5: The unitarity triangle is invariant trough the evolution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from CONACYT-Proyecto-ICM (Mexico). S.R.J.W. also thanks to "Comisión de Operación y Fomento de Actividades Académicas" (COFAA) from Instituto Politécnico Nacional.

REFERENCES

1. R.D. Peccei and K. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 2712 (1996); H. González et al., Phys. Lett. **B 440**, 94 (1998); H. González et al., *A New symmetry of Quark Yukawa Couplings*, page 755, International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Jerusalem 1997, eds. Daniel Lellouch, Giora Mikenberg, Eliezer Rabinovici, Springecceptedr-Verlag 1999.
2. K.S. Babu, Z. Phys. **C 35**, 69 (1987); P. Binetruy and P. Ramond, Phys. Lett. **B350**, 49 (1995); K. Wang, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 5750 (1996).
3. B. Grzadkowski and M. Lindner, Phys. Lett. **B193**, 71 (1987); B. Grzadkowski, M. Lindner and S. Theisen, Phys. Lett. **B198**, 64 (1987); M. Olechowski and S. Pokorski, Phys. Lett. **B 257**, 388 (1991); G. Cvetic, C.S. Kim and S.S. Hwang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A14** 769 (1999).M.E. Machacek and M.T. Vaughn, Nucl. Phys. **B222**, 83 (1983); **B236**, 221 (1984); **B249**, 70 (1985); C. Balzereit, Th. Hansmann, T. Mannel and B. Plümper, Eur. Phys. J. **C9** 197 (1999), `hep-ph/9810350`.

4. H. Arason, et al, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 3945 (1992).
5. H. Fusaoka and Y. Koide, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 3986 (1998).
6. K. Sasaki, Z. Phys. **C 3**2, 149 (1986).
7. S.R. Juárez W., S.F. Herrera, P. Kielanowski and G. Mora, *Energy dependence of the quark masses and mixings* in Particles and Fields, Ninth Mexican School, Metepec, Puebla, México. AIP Conference Proceedings 562 (2001) 303-308, ISBN 1-56396-998-X, ISSN 0094-243X, hep-ph/0009148.
8. N. Nimai Singh, Eur. Phys. J. **C19**, 137 (2001), hep-ph/0009211.
9. Yoshio Koide, Hideo Fusaoka, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 053014 (2001), hep-ph/0011070.
10. C.R. Das, M.K. Parida, Eur. Phys.J. **C20**, 121 (2001). hep-ph/0010004.
11. Cheng-Wei Chiang, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 076009 (2001), hep-ph/0011195.
12. N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 531 (1963).
13. M. Kobayashi, T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).
14. L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1945 (1983).
15. A.J. Buras, M.E. Lautenbacher and G. Ostermaier, Phys. Rev. **D 50**, 3433 (1994).
16. P. Kielanowski, S.R. Juárez W. and G. Mora, Phys. Lett. **B479**, 181 (2000). S. R. Juárez W., P. Kielanowski and G. Mora, *New Properties of the Renormalization Group Equations of the Yukawa Couplings and CKM Matrix* in the Proceedings of the Eighth Mexican School *Particles and Fields*, Oaxaca, México. AIP Conference Proceedings 490 (1998), 351-354, ed. J.C. D'Olivo, G. López C. and M. Mondragón, L.C. Catalog Card No. 99-067150, ISBN 1-56396-895-9, ISSN 0094-243X., DOE CONF-981188.
17. S.R. Juárez W., S.F. Herrera H., P. Kielanowski and G. Mora, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 116007 (2002).
18. C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** 1039 (1985) and Zeit. f. Phys. **C29**, 491 (1985).